

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/928,977	CAPLAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clement B. Graham	3696	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Clement B. Graham. (3) ____.

(2) Ronald Schoenbaum. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 45-64 and 74-96.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During a interview with Applicant's representative Mr. Ronald Schoenbaum, the examiner discusses possible 112 and 101 rejections. The Applicants representative suggested he will submit an amendment with the required changes..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. B. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 3696	
---------------------------------------	--